

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

BLACK BORDERS

- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**

SCHWEGMAN ■ LUNDBERG ■ WOESSNER ■ KLUTH

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **HIGH DOSE RADIONUCLIDE COMPLEXES FOR BONE MARROW SUPPRESSION**.

The specification of which was filed on December 11, 2001 as application serial no. 10/014,335 and was amended on December 11, 2001.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

<u>Application Number</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
60/139,065	June 11, 1999
60/143,780	June 13, 1999
60/149,821	August 19, 1999

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

<u>Application Number</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
PCT/US00/16052	June 12, 2000	Complete

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

Attorney of NeoRx Corporation:
Anna Lewak Wight

Reg. No. 33,006

Attorneys and agents of Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.:

Anglin, J. Michael	Reg. No. 24,916	Greaves, John N.	Reg. No. 40,362	Nelson, Albin J.	Reg. No. 28,650
Aronoff, Marvin S.	Reg. No. 35,052	Haack, John L.	Reg. No. 36,154	Nicholson, Lea A.	Reg. No. 48,346
Arora, Suneel	Reg. No. 42,267	Harris, Robert J.	Reg. No. 37,346	Nielsen, Walter W.	Reg. No. 25,539
Beekman, Marvin L.	Reg. No. 38,377	Jackson Huebsch, Katharine A.	Reg. No. 47,670	Pady, Danny J.	Reg. No. 35,635
Berdie, Raymond R.	Reg. No. P-50,769	Jurkovich, Patti J.	Reg. No. 44,813	Parker, J. Kevin	Reg. No. 33,024
Bianchi, Timothy E.	Reg. No. 39,610	Kalis, Janal M.	Reg. No. 37,650	Perdok, Monique M.	Reg. No. 42,989
Billion, Richard E.	Reg. No. 32,836	Klima-Silberg, Catherine I.	Reg. No. 40,052	Peret, Andrew R.	Reg. No. 41,246
Black, David W.	Reg. No. 42,331	Kluth, Daniel J.	Reg. No. 32,146	Peterson, David C.	Reg. No. 47,857
Brennan, Thomas F.	Reg. No. 35,075	Lacy, Rodney L.	Reg. No. 41,136	Prout, William F.	Reg. No. 33,995
Brooks, Edward J., III	Reg. No. 40,925	Lemaire, Charles A.	Reg. No. 36,198	Schumm, Sherry W.	Reg. No. 39,422
Chadwick, Robin A.	Reg. No. 36,477	LeMoine, Dana B.	Reg. No. 40,062	Schwegman, Micheal L.	Reg. No. 25,816
Clark, Barbara J.	Reg. No. 38,107	Lundberg, Steven W.	Reg. No. 30,568	Scott, John C.	Reg. No. 38,613
Clise, Timothy B.	Reg. No. 40,957	Maki, Peter C.	Reg. No. 42,832	Smith, Michael G.	Reg. No. 45,368
Cochran, David R.	Reg. No. 46,632	Malen, Peter L.	Reg. No. 44,894	Speier, Gary J.	Reg. No. 45,458
Dahl, John M.	Reg. No. 44,639	Mates, Robert E.	Reg. No. 35,271	Steffey, Charles E.	Reg. No. 25,179
Drake, Eduardo E.	Reg. No. 40,594	McCrackin, Ann M.	Reg. No. 42,858	Stordal, Leif T.	Reg. No. 46,251
Embretson, Janet E.	Reg. No. 39,665	McTavish, Hugh E.	Reg. No. 48,341	Terry, Kathleen R.	Reg. No. 31,884
Forrest, Bradley A.	Reg. No. 30,837	Mehrie, Joseph P.	Reg. No. 45,535	Tong, Viet V.	Reg. No. 45,416
Gamon, Owen J.	Reg. No. 36,143	Moore, Charles L., Jr.	Reg. No. 33,742	Viksniņs, Ann S.	Reg. No. 37,748
Gorrie, Gregory J.	Reg. No. 36,530	Muller, Mark V.	Reg. No. 37,509	Woessner, Warren D.	Reg. No. 30,440
Gortych, Joseph E.	Reg. No. 41,791	Nama, Kash	Reg. No. 44,255		

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. at the address indicated below:

P.O. Box 2938, Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone No. (612)373-6900

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor number 1 : **Alan R. Fritzberg**
Citizenship: **United States of America**
Post Office Address: **417 E.J. Young Road**
Olga, WA 98279

Residence: **Olga, WA**

Signature: _____

Alan R. Fritzberg

Date: _____

Feb 21, 2002

Full Name of joint inventor number 2 : **Paul G. Abrams**
Citizenship: **United States of America**
Post Office Address: **2125 1st Avenue, #1602**
Seattle, WA 98121

Residence: **Seattle, WA**

Signature: _____

Paul G. Abrams

Date: _____

☒ Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

Filing Date: December 11, 2001

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor number 3 : Lauren Marie Tatalick

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Redmond, WA

Post Office Address: 21235 N.E. 50th
Redmond, WA 98053

Signature: _____

Lauren Marie Tatalick

Date: _____

Full Name of joint inventor number 4 : Kent R. Thaelke

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Seattle, WA

Post Office Address: 2115 Westlake Avenue N.
Seattle, WA 98109

Signature: _____

Kent R. Thaelke

Date: _____

Full Name of joint inventor number 5 : James Kyle Bryan

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Seattle, WA

Post Office Address: 905 13th Avenue East
Seattle, WA 98102

Signature: _____

James Kyle Bryan

Date: _____

Full Name of joint inventor number 6 : Mark D. Hylarides

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Stanwood, WA

Post Office Address: 21728 - 50th Avenue NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Signature: _____

Mark D. Hylarides

Date: 2-21-02

☒ Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor number 7: Elizabeth K. John San Diego, CA
Citizenship: United States of America Resident Alien Residence: Seattle, WA, Administration
Post Office Address: 620 Galer Street #336 3950 Mahale Avenue # E-23.
Seattle, WA 98292 San Diego, CA 92122
Administration

Signature: Elizabeth K. John Date: 2-27-02
Elizabeth K. John

Full Name of inventor:
Citizenship: Residence:
Post Office Address:

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Full Name of inventor:
Citizenship: Residence:
Post Office Address:

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Full Name of inventor:
Citizenship: Residence:
Post Office Address:

Signature: _____ Date: _____

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

SCHWEGMAN ■ LUNDBERG ■ WOESSNER ■ KLUTH

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: HIGH DOSE RADIONUCLIDE COMPLEXES FOR BONE MARROW SUPPRESSION.

The specification of which was filed on December 11, 2001 as application serial no. 10/014,335 and was amended on December 11, 2001.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

<u>Application Number</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
60/139,065	June 11, 1999
60/143,780	June 13, 1999
60/149,821	August 19, 1999

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

<u>Application Number</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
PCT/US00/16052	June 12, 2000	Complete

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

Attorney of NeoRx Corporation:

Anna Lewak Wight Reg. No. 33,006

Attorneys and agents of Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.:

Anglin, J. Michael	Reg. No. 24,916	Greaves, John N.	Reg. No. 40,362	Nelson, Albin J.	Reg. No. 28,650
Aronoff, Marvin S.	Reg. No. 35,052	Haack, John L.	Reg. No. 36,154	Nicholson, Lea A.	Reg. No. 48,346
Arora, Suneel	Reg. No. 42,267	Harris, Robert J.	Reg. No. 37,346	Nielsen, Walter W.	Reg. No. 25,539
Beekman, Marvin L.	Reg. No. 38,377	Jackson Huebsch, Katharine A.	Reg. No. 47,670	Padys, Danny J.	Reg. No. 35,635
Berdie, Raymond R.	Reg. No. P-50,769	Jurkovich, Patti J.	Reg. No. 44,813	Parker, J. Kevin	Reg. No. 33,024
Bianchi, Timothy E.	Reg. No. 39,610	Kalis, Janal M.	Reg. No. 37,650	Perdok, Monique M.	Reg. No. 42,989
Billion, Richard E.	Reg. No. 32,836	Klima-Silberg, Catherine I.	Reg. No. 40,052	Peret, Andrew R.	Reg. No. 41,246
Black, David W.	Reg. No. 42,331	Kluth, Daniel J.	Reg. No. 32,146	Peterson, David C.	Reg. No. 47,857
Brennan, Thomas F.	Reg. No. 35,075	Lacy, Rodney L.	Reg. No. 41,136	Prout, William F.	Reg. No. 33,995
Brooks, Edward J., III	Reg. No. 40,925	Lemaire, Charles A.	Reg. No. 36,198	Schumm, Sherry W.	Reg. No. 39,422
Chadwick, Robin A.	Reg. No. 36,477	LeMoine, Dana B.	Reg. No. 40,062	Schwegman, Micheal L.	Reg. No. 25,816
Clark, Barbara J.	Reg. No. 38,107	Lundberg, Steven W.	Reg. No. 30,568	Scott, John C.	Reg. No. 38,613
Clise, Timothy B.	Reg. No. 40,957	Maki, Peter C.	Reg. No. 42,832	Smith, Michael G.	Reg. No. 45,368
Cochran, David R.	Reg. No. 46,632	Malen, Peter L.	Reg. No. 44,894	Speier, Gary J.	Reg. No. 45,458
Dahl, John M.	Reg. No. 44,639	Mates, Robert E.	Reg. No. 35,271	Steffey, Charles E.	Reg. No. 25,179
Drake, Eduardo E.	Reg. No. 40,594	McCrackin, Ann M.	Reg. No. 42,858	Stordal, Leif T.	Reg. No. 46,251
Embretson, Janet E.	Reg. No. 39,665	McTavish, Hugh E.	Reg. No. 48,341	Terry, Kathleen R.	Reg. No. 31,884
Forrest, Bradley A.	Reg. No. 30,837	Mehrle, Joseph P.	Reg. No. 45,535	Tong, Viet V.	Reg. No. 45,416
Gamon, Owen J.	Reg. No. 36,143	Moore, Charles L., Jr.	Reg. No. 33,742	Viksnins, Ann S.	Reg. No. 37,748
Gorrie, Gregory J.	Reg. No. 36,530	Muller, Mark V.	Reg. No. 37,509	Woessner, Warren D.	Reg. No. 30,440
Gortych, Joseph E.	Reg. No. 41,791	Nama, Kash	Reg. No. 44,255		

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. at the address indicated below:

P.O. Box 2938, Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone No. (612)373-6900

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor number 1: Alan R. Fritzberg

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Olga, WA

Post Office Address: 417 E.J. Young Road
Olga, WA 98279

Signature: _____

Alan R. Fritzberg

Date: _____

Full Name of joint inventor number 2: Paul G. Abrams

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Seattle, WA

Post Office Address: 2125 1st Avenue, #1602
Seattle, WA 98121

Signature: _____

Paul G. Abrams

Date: 2/21/02

Attorney Docket No.: 295.044US1

Serial No. 10/014,335

Filing Date: December 11, 2001

Page 3 of 6

☒ Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor number 3 : Lauren Marie Tatalick

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Redmond, WA

Post Office Address: 21235 N.E. 50th
Redmond, WA 98053

Signature: _____

Lauren Marie Tatalick

Lauren Marie Tatalick

Date: Feb. 22, 2002

Full Name of joint inventor number 4 : Kent R. Thaelke

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Seattle, WA

Post Office Address: 2115 Westlake Avenue N.
Seattle, WA 98109

Signature: _____

Kent R. Thaelke

Date: _____

Full Name of joint inventor number 5 : James Kyle Bryan

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Seattle, WA

Post Office Address: 905 13th Avenue East
Seattle, WA 98102

Signature: _____

James Kyle Bryan

Date: _____

Full Name of joint inventor number 6 : Mark D. Hylarides

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Stanwood, WA

Post Office Address: 21728 - 50th Avenue NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Signature: _____

Mark D. Hylarides

Date: _____

☒ Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor number 7 : Elizabeth K. John

Citizenship: United States of America

Residence: Seattle, WA, Administration

Post Office Address: 620 Galer Street #336
Seattle, WA 98292
Administration

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Elizabeth K. John

Full Name of inventor:

Citizenship:

Residence:

Post Office Address:

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Full Name of inventor:

Citizenship:

Residence:

Post Office Address:

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Full Name of inventor:

Citizenship:

Residence:

Post Office Address:

Signature: _____ Date: _____

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

SAVILLE & CO



—NOTARIES PUBLIC—

Princes House
95 Gresham Street
London EC2V 7NA
Telephone: +44 (0)20 7920 0000
Facsimile: +44 (0)20 7920 0088
DX 33870 Finsbury Square
www.savillenotaries.com
mail@savillenotaries.com

Richard Saville
Ian Campbell
Ella Imison (ASSOCIATE)
Sophie Jenkins (ASSOCIATE)
Derek Julian (CONSULTANT)

KINGDOM OF ENGLAND)
CITY OF LONDON) s.s.

On this fourth day of March in the year two thousand and two
before me IAN RODERICK CAMPBELL of the City of
London NOTARY PUBLIC by royal authority duly admitted
and sworn personally came and appeared KENT RICHARD
THOELKE personally known to me and known to me to be the
person named in and who signed and executed the annexed
instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same
as and for his free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and
purposes therein set forth.



My Commission expires at Death

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and
e believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so
e punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false
nts may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

me of joint inventor number 3 : Lauren Marie Tatalick

Ship: United States of America
Office Address: 21235 N.E. 50th
Redmond, WA 98053

Residence: Redmond, WA

re: _____
Lauren Marie Tatalick

Date: _____

me of joint inventor number 4 : Kent R. Thaelke

Ship: United States of America
Office Address: 2115 Westlake Avenue N.
Seattle, WA 98109

Residence: Seattle, WA

re: 
Kent R. Thaelke

Date: March 4, 2002

me of joint inventor number 5 : James Kyle Bryan

Ship: United States of America
Office Address: 905 13th Avenue East
Seattle, WA 98102

Residence: Seattle, WA

re: _____
James Kyle Bryan

Date: _____

me of joint inventor number 6 : Mark D. Hyalarides

Ship: United States of America
Office Address: 21728 - 50th Avenue NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Residence: Stanwood, WA

re: _____
Mark D. Hyalarides

Date: _____

Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Name of joint inventor number 7: Elizabeth K. John

City: United States of America
Office Address: 620 Galer Street #336
Seattle, WA 98292
Administration

Residence: Seattle, WA, Administration

Signature: _____
Elizabeth K. John

Date: _____

Name of inventor:

City:

Office Address:

Residence:

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name of inventor:

City:

Office Address:

Residence:

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name of inventor:

City:

Office Address:

Residence:

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to the patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled, withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under examination in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to the patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d). However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty to disclose was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe an independent claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being added to the record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the applicable standard of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the prior art, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor.

-NOTARIES PUBLIC

Derek Julian (CONSULTANT)

My Commission expires / at Death

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information a
lief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like
de are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful
tements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

ll Name of joint inventor number 3 : Lauren Marie Tatalick

izenship: United States of America
st Office Address: 21235 N.E. 50th
Redmond, WA 98053

Residence: Redmond, WA

gnature: _____

Lauren Marie Tatalick

Date: _____

ll Name of joint inventor number 4 : Kent R. Thaelke

izenship: United States of America
st Office Address: 2115 Westlake Avenue N.
Seattle, WA 98109

Residence: Seattle, WA

gnature: _____

Kent R. Thaelke

Date: _____

ll Name of joint inventor number 5 : James Kyle Bryan

izenship: United States of America
st Office Address: 905 13th Avenue East
Seattle, WA 98102

Residence: Seattle, WA

gnature: _____

James Kyle Bryan

Date: March 4, 2002

ll Name of joint inventor number 6 : Mark D. Hyarides

izenship: United States of America
st Office Address: 21728 - 50th Avenue NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Residence: Stanwood, WA

gnature: _____

Mark D. Hyarides

Date: _____

Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and
ef are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so
be are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false
ements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Name of joint inventor number 7 : Elizabeth K. John

izenship: United States of America
Office Address: 620 Galer Street #336
Seattle, WA 98292
Administration

Residence: Seattle, WA, Administration

ature:

Elizabeth K. John

Date:

Name of inventor:

izenship:

Residence:

Office Address:

ature:

Date:

Name of inventor:

izenship:

Residence:

Office Address:

ature:

Date:

Name of inventor:

izenship:

Residence:

Office Address:

ature:

Date:

56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled, withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to the patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.104. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.